

A Review Study On Outbreak Prediction Of Covid-19 By Using Machine Learning

Kamal Narayan Kamlesh^{1*}, Dr Kumar Vishal²

^{1*}Research Scholar, Department of CS & IT, Magadh University Bodh Gaya, e-mail: kamal.kamleshjha@gmail.com, Orcid id: 0000-0001-8237-2905

²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Magadh University Bodh Gaya

*Corresponding Author: kamal.kamleshjha@gmail.com

Abstract

In December 2019, Wuhan City, China, discovered a new infectious disease, COVID-19. Over 70 million people have been infected and one million people have died as a result of COVID-19. Defeating such a deadly, infectious disease requires accurate models that predict COVID-19 outbreaks. Using prediction models, governments can plan budgets and facilities for fighting diseases, and take control measures to make better decisions and take control measures. For example, they can determine how many medicines and medical equipment to manufacture or import, as well as how many medical personnel are needed to fight the disease. The COVID-19 outbreak has subsequently been predicted in several countries and continents using regression and classification models. A recent study that incorporated statistical and machine learning techniques was reviewed to predict COVID-19 outbreaks in the future. Ground truth datasets are used, their characteristics are investigated, models are developed, predictor variables are identified, statistical and machine learning methods are applied, performance metrics are calculated, and finally comparisons are made. By applying machine learning methods, the survey results indicate that we can make predictions about whether a patient will become infected with COVID-19, how outbreak trends will develop, and which age groups will be affected the most.

Keywords: Machine learning, Classification, Regression, COVID-19, ARIMA

Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 is also known as COVID-19. Infectious diseases like this are caused by Coronavirus. Over 71 million people have been infected and died from COVID-19, the first major respiratory pandemic in the history of humanity (Singh et al., 2020). Symptoms of the pandemic began to appear on March 12, 2020, when the World Health Organization declared it a pandemic. For governments to make better decisions, COVID-19 outbreaks need to be predicted as accurately as possible. To combat disease effectively, the government can (a) set up a budget, (b) make sure that facilities are adequate before they become overcrowded, (c) select the amount of medical equipment and medicines to manufacture, and finally (d) determine how many doctors are needed. Other diseases, including dengue fever, H1N1 flu, and swine fever, have been studied for developing prediction models in the past decades (Caccavo, D. (2020)). COVID-19's unique characteristics and unprecedented spread caused a number of measures that had never been taken before due to its unique characteristics. Curfews were imposed nationwide, businesses and public places were closed, masks were required outside of homes, and stadiums were converted into temporary hospitals.

To predict COVID-19 outbreaks, two types of prediction models are used: regression and classification. In regression models, continuous

quantities are predicted, for example (Kumari et. al. (2021)). To assign them to appropriate classes, data are classified either by single-class labels (i.e., binary labels) or by multi-class labels. A time series prediction considers how values have evolved over time in order to predict future changes. The combination of regular regressions, regular classifications, time series-based regressions, and time series-based classifications is used to obtain regular regression models and regular classification models.

In the present study (Ardabili et. al. (2020)), recent statistical and machine learning studies have been conducted in order to predict the COVID-19 outbreak. A description of the statistical methods and machine learning algorithms used in each study is provided, along with performance metrics. In addition to describing these characteristics, the ground-truth dataset, the statistical models, predictor variables, and the machine learning methods are also described. Finally, we provide results and conclusions. Throughout the paper, the studies are organized as follows: Indian cases, Eurasian cases, African cases, American cases, and intercontinental cases. At the end of the paper, a scope for future research is presented.

Analyses of Indian cases

A study was conducted to predict India's situation during the outbreak of global pandemic COVID-19.

COVID-19 outbreak in India was analyzed using enhanced versions of the SIR epidemic model (Kumar et al. (2020)). Rather than assuming values for parameters, sophisticated approaches based on curve fitting were applied on available data to achieve more realistic results. For optimal results, the Government of India implemented Zone bifurcation to identify susceptible patients. Compared with the number of people who should be tested for HIV, not enough people have been tested for HIV in India. According to the model, India has a low R_0 value and a low fatality rate compared to other countries. In a single day, it is predicted that there could be around 800000 active cases and over 35000 deaths due to the outbreak. Singh et al (2020) believe that the situation in the country is acceptable compared to many other regions. Lockdowns and distancing laws could have prevented this if enacted at the right time. COVID-19 has been predicted by model to cause large numbers of deaths in India, even at lower values of R_0 , due to the relatively high population. The models assume that the same conditions will persist, which can lead to a mismatch between the number of tests and the number of confirmed cases if there are too few tests conducted and there are too many asymptomatic patients.

Humans are infected with the COVID-19 virus, which damages the lungs and causes illness. The COVID-19 virus has killed a lot of people around the world. Using navel coronavirus analysis to study five different tasks, we propose Support Vector Regression (Yadav et al. (2020)). Rather than using simple regression lines, this work uses supported vectors to improve classification accuracy. The first thing that must be completed before trying to minimize virus spread is to determine the extent of the virus, so that the government and citizens can better prepare for future outbreaks. Based on this information, the government and citizens will be able to make appropriate plans to minimize its spread. We are more likely to be able to gain insight into the effectiveness of mitigation measures, the actions that have been taken so far, and the number of cases that have been prevented as a result.

Finally, by doing the third task, we will be able to estimate how many patients will be able to recover from this disease, since vaccines and cures have not yet been discovered. This will allow us to predict how long it will take for the pandemic to end by predicting how long all the patients will take to recover. In the fourth task, we will observe and analyze the speed of the virus's spread between regions, determining which areas need more attention (Temsah et al. 2020). To prevent the spread of infection, the environment of an infected patient should be improved. A warning can also be provided if humidity and high temperatures are to be avoided or not. Pearson's correlation method can be used to determine if disease spread is correlated with the weather. In comparison to other regression

methods, the proposed Support Vector Regression method performed well in all tasks based on Coronavirus analysis.

Several nations have been devastated by COVID-19 outbreaks. COVID-19 has, however, recovered from India with an 88% recovery rate. Senapati et al. (2021)) used piecewise linear regression to predict the prevalence of positive cases and recovery cases in five Indian states. Instead of simple linear regression, we have used piecewise linear regression in the proposed scheme. Consequently, both cases are accurately predicted by the proposed scheme. Therefore, our model can be applied to other COVID-19 parameters no matter where they originate or where they are used. We will develop advanced machine learning and deep learning models in order to enhance our response to COVID-19 and other pandemics (Hussain et al., 2021). Implementing piecewise linear regression involves finding where the data should be partitioned. Using the previous 7 days' data, we have heuristically observed the slope of the point in this paper to predict the next day. By finding an optimal partitioning point in the future, we hope to minimize errors.

COVID-19 poses a threat to thousands of people worldwide. All governments in all countries should pay close attention to this disease in order to reduce its effects (Priyadarshini et al., 2020). A study has been conducted to analyse and train the outbreak of this disease in the Indian region until May 10th, 2020, as well as to ascertain how many cases there will be over the next three weeks. Government data collected between 29th January and 11th May was analyzed using a machine learning algorithm (Gupta et al. (2020)). RMSLE was used to evaluate the models, and SEIR performed well with 1.52 and regression with 1.75. Regression and SEIR performed well with 2.01. Regression and SEIR performed well with 2.01. R_0 , which is the spread of the disease, was calculated at 2.86. The expected number of cases is 176K–210K for the three-week test period (Zhu et al. (2020)). Doctors and the government will benefit from this study in preparing their future plans. A new coronavirus, COVID-19, was reported in Wuhan, China, on December 30, 2019.

This virus has an estimated fatality rate of 4.5%, but that increases to 8.1% in the 70-80 age group, and 14.9% in the 81+ age group, according to Chan et al. (2021). Diabetes, Parkinson's disease, and cardiovascular disease pose the greatest risk to elderly patients over 50. As a result of this disease, you may experience a fever, cough, shortness of breath, pneumonia, kidney failure, and even death. The virus spreads via respiratory droplets between people in close proximity, with an average number of infected individuals being 1.5–3.5 per patient, but it is not considered an airborne illness. As there is no vaccine against CoVID-19, flattening the epidemic curve and reducing the epidemic peak are

critical to managing this pandemic (Anderson et. al. (2020)). Using data science and data mining, researchers can develop concrete plans and make accurate decisions by understanding viruses and their characteristics. In order to prevent future epidemics and develop infrastructure, facilities, and vaccines, more aggressive measures will be taken. In this study, regression and SEIR models are combined to predict the number of cases and estimate the spread of the disease in India. Our empirical results were analyzed using the data from the government web portal (<https://www.mohfw.gov.in/>) and the John Hopkins University, USA. Data for the training period will be available on 30/01/2020 and the test data will be available on 11/05/2020 and 31/05/2020. In the data, we include confirmed cases as well as deaths and recovered cases. Using data from Guhathakurata et. al., 2021, this article analyses and predicts COVID-19 cases in India. There have been fewer than one COVID-19 case per million in India, which represents approximately 18.6% of the world's population.

Artificial intelligence has been used to fight the COVID-19 pandemic. The definition of Artificial

Intelligence given by Tamhane, R., & Mulge, S (2020) is Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing, and Computer Vision Applications. Pattern recognition, prediction, etc. can be taught to computers using these models. This paper uses two machine learning techniques to predict COVID-19 outbreaks. Support vector regression and polynomial regression methods are used for data visualization and prediction. It would allow us to take precautions before COVID-19 spirals out of control without control by estimating future cases of the virus. In the fight against COVID-19, the use of artificial intelligence does require accurate data and human-computer interactions, but it has become a source of hope for the advancement of technology for the protection of mankind (Khemasuwan et. al., 2020). Here, a prediction model is developed for predicting the number of cases in the next 25 days. A polynomial regression model as well as a support vector regression model were used to obtain the results. The Python language simplifies the process of achieving desired results. As a result, it uncovers hidden insights that provide insights and predict future trends.

Table 1

| Author's | Publishing Year | Model | Technique of the study | Predictor variables of the study | Target variables of the study |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Kumar et. al. | 2020 | nested exponential statistical model | machine learning | confirmed cases | Total cases |
| Gupta et. al. | 2020 | Regression model | Machine Learning | Expected cases | All cases |
| Yadav et. al. | 2020 | Support vector regression model | Machine learning | Active cases | Recoveries |
| Guhathakurata et. al. | 2021 | Multiple criteria decision-making (MCDM) technique | Machine learning | Covid-19 cases | Total death |
| Senapati et. al. | 2021 | Linear regression | Machine learning | confirmed cases | Total cases |
| Hussain et. al. | 2021 | Convolutional Neural Network | Deep learning | X-ray and CT scan | non-COVID bacterial pneumonia |

Analysis of Eurasian cases

COVID-19 spread in Indonesia can be predicted using models, according to Satrio et al. (2021). Both ARIMA and PROPHET algorithms were used to create the model, which is an Autoregressive Integrated Moving Averages (ARIMA) model. An ARIMA(p, d, q) formula is used to average a

difference between two samples, where p is the lag time, d is the difference degree, and q is the size of the average window. Data for this study were obtained from the Kaggle website. They contain serial numbers, observation dates, provinces/states, countries/regions, last updates, confirmations, recoveries, and deaths. In the original dataset, 28.218 rows were included, but only 82 rows

remained when only Indonesian data were included (van Hell, N. (2021)). Due to the fact that ARIMA uses only univariate data, they separated confirmed, recovery, and death data frames. Afterwards, they checked if the data was stationary since ARIMA is better able to calculate results with stable data. There was no stationary trend in the data, according to their findings. As a next step, they transformed the data into stationary form using log-scale transformation and time-shifting transformation. The prediction of future death counts was based on past confirmed, recovered, and recovered death counts (Etin, U. A., & Fatih, A. B. U. T. (2022)).

Metrics such as coefficients of determination (R2), mean square errors (MSEs), mean absolute errors (MAEs), and mean forecast errors (MFEs) are used to measure program performance. Between April 22, 2020, and May 21, 2020, both models were evaluated over a 30-day period. Both PROPHET and ARIMA are generally more accurate as more days are forecasted, but PROPHET is generally better than ARIMA. Using age, gender, nationality, and location as indicators of susceptibility, Althnian et al. (2020) analyzed the demographics of individuals. The dataset was provided by the Saudi Ministry of Health. Between January 2, 2020, and April 25, 2020, 229124 patient records in Saudi Arabia were included in the dataset. Cities, confirmatory results, dates of birth, genders, nationalities, subject identification numbers, result dates, screening results, and test dates are among variables that predict the outcome. You can substitute the date of birth with any of the following age groups: 0-8, 9-20, 21-29, 31-39, 41-49, 51-59, 61-69, 71-79, or 81+. A total of 15 Saudi regions replaced the city. For the positive class, random oversampling was used because the negative test results were overwhelmingly high, resulting in a database with 51% positive results and 49% negative results. Using age, gender, nationality, and location variables, we predicted COVID-10 outbreaks

(Iwendi et. al. (2022)). DTs (Decision Trees), RFs (Random Forests), and MLPs (Multi-Layer Perceptrons) were more popular in this study. Five cross-validations were performed on the models during training and testing. In Song et al.'s study of 2021, AUC (Area under the Curve) was used as a measurement of accuracy, precision, recall, and recall. A DT-based model has been determined to perform the best based on all performance metrics. In addition, only the mentioned predictor variables could be used to predict susceptibility without considering medical factors.

Among Italy, Spain, and France, COVID-19 is prevalent, according to Ceylan. Ceylan, Z. (2020) collected data for Italy, Spain, and France from the WHO website between 22.02.2020 and 15.04.2020. A time series ARIMA regression model was created using 45 samples in this study. There are a variety of architectures used in the ARIMA model, where confirmed cases are used as predictors and total cases as dependent variables (Papa Stefanopoulos et. al., 2020).

A forecasting model was developed by Fang et al. to prevent and control COVID-19 outbreaks. Coronavirus resource center at John Hopkins University provided the dataset (Mohamadou et. al. (2020)). In the dataset, data are available from 30.01.2020 to 30.06.2020. A total of two categories of Russian cases were used in this study: confirmed recovery cases and confirmed death cases. ARIMA forecasting was used to develop time series regression models. The MAPE metric is preferable for verifying the fit of the ARIMA model (ArunKumar et. al. (2021)). With a MAPE value of 0.65, the forecast model for confirmed cases is highly accurate and robust. It has been reported that death forecast models have a MAPE value of 3.90, while recovery forecast models have a MAPE value of 2.40. (Fang et. al. (2020)). These models have also been reported as being reasonably robust.

Table 2

| Author's | Publishing Year | Model | Technique of the study | Predictor variables of the study | Target variables of the study |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Satrio et al. | 2021 | Time Series Regression | Prophet Forecasting Model | Death confirmed, recovered | Death confirmed, body recovered |
| Althnian et al. | 2020 | Regular Classification | Decision Tree, MultiLayer Perceptron | Location, age, gender, and nationality | susceptibility |
| Ceylan | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average | Cases confirmed | The total number of cases |
| Fang et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average | Death confirmed, recovered | Death confirmed, recovered |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Fayyoubi et al. | 2020 | Regular Classificati | MultiLayer Perceptron | Test result positive for PCR, age, gender, smoking, positive X-ray, fever, breathing | COVID-19 positive or negative |
| Gupta et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Prophet Forecasting Model | Cases confirmed, death cases, and cases recovered | Cases confirmed, death cases, and cases recovered |
| Önder | 2020 | Time Series Regression | SubExponential Growth | Cases confirmed | reproductive number |
| Pinter et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | MultiLayered Perceptron-Imperialist Competitive Algorithm | Cases and deaths per day | Mortality rate and number of cases |
| Pourghasemi et.al. | 2020 | Time Series Classification | Support Vector Machines, Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average | Coldest month's maximum temperature, warmest month's maximum temperature, wettest month's precipitation, distance from banks, distance from bakeries, distance from attraction sites, | Areas with high or low risk |
| Tamhane et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Polynomial Regression, Support Vector Machines | Cases reported | Amount of cases |

For predicting the future occurrence of COVID-19, Fayyoubi et al. (2020) developed a regular classification model based on machine learning and statistics. This study utilized a dataset collected by an online survey conducted among various eligible Jordanians, of which 105 were selected for participation. Machine learning models were built with SVM and MLP, while statistical learning models were built with Logistic Regression (LogReg) (Erdem, E., & Bozkurt, F. (2021)). The dataset also contains predictor variables such as the presence of a PCR test, age, gender, smoking, x-rays that show a positive result, a fever, bronchitis, diarrhea, feeling unwell, nasal congestion, dry coughing, a lack of smell, aching and pains, and sore throat. Tenfold cross-validation was used to test all models. According to Kumar et al. (2020), this study aims to identify patients who are infected with COVID-19. Geometric Mean (G_Mean), precision, and accuracy were assessed for the models. Due to the performance metrics used, MLP was selected as the best model.

In the Fars Province of Iran, Pourghasemi et al. (2020) investigated risk factors for COVID-19 using

a SVM model. The growth trends in COVID-19 in Fars Province were also compared with those elsewhere. Data from time series was classified with the help of these models. A combination of ARIMA and SVM was used. Analyzing COVID-19 spread patterns using ARIMA models and SVMs helped create a risk map of the outbreak. PWM (Precipitation of Wettest Month), PDM (Precipitation of Driest Month) and MTCM (Minimum Temperature of Coldest Month) are predictor variables. Road distance, mosque distance, hospital distance, petrol station distance, bus station distance, bank distance, bakery distance, attraction distance, ATM distance, footprints of people, density of towns. SVM coefficients were analyzed using accuracy metrics, AUC, and t-statistics, whereas ARIMA coefficients were analyzed using standard error, t-statistics, and probability metrics. COVID-19 risk mapping is moderately influenced by MTCM and village density, but ATMs, distances from attractions, fuel stations, mosques, and roads are least affected by climate factors. There is a strong correlation between MTCM and distance from bus stations, bakeries, and hospitals (Chimmula, V. R., 2021). The infection isn't expected to demonstrate a

rapid explosion, but the general trend may continue for some time, according to ARIMA.

To predict the number of cases for the next 25 days, Yeung et al. (2021) used a time series regression model. Using dates between January 1, 2020, and May 25, 2020, John Hopkins University provided the dataset. A polynomial regression (PR) model was constructed using SVM and Polynomial Regression (SVM), while the number of cases was used as a predictor (Hassan et al. (2021)). For the purpose of analyzing the data, we divide it into two sets: test data and train data. A performance metric is measured by MAE and a performance metric is measured by MSE. A critical tool for dealing with the crisis may be machine learning, as demonstrated in this study.

Analysis of African cases

A prediction of COVID-19's future trends in Ethiopia was made by Gebretensae et al. by using ARIMA. A study of confirmed, recovered, and death cases in Ethiopia was conducted using data from the Ethiopian Public Health Institute's website. During March 13, 2020, through August 31, 2020, data are included in the dataset. A time-series regression model was constructed using ARIMA (Gebretensae et al., 2021). On the basis of Box and Jenkins' description, the authors estimated model parameters using least squares after determining the appropriate ARIMA order. We used Partial Autocorrelation (PACF) and Autocorrelation Function (ACF) to confirm the stationary nature of the data in confirmed cases (Al-Turaiki et al. (2021)). ACF and PACF graphs were used to select ARIMAs (5, 1, 0) and (3, 1, 2) for predicting confirmed and recovered cases. The Bayesian information criteria (BIC) and RMSE are not the only performance metrics we examined. It is predicted that Ethiopia will experience an increase in confirmed and recovered cases within the next 60 days under ARIMA (5, 0, 0) and ARIMA (3, 1, 2).

A deep learning algorithm was used by Marzouk et al. (2021) to predict COVID-19 outbreaks. It is an important source of confirmed, recovered, and fatal cases from Egypt according to the Egyptian Ministry of Health and Population. Over 504 days have passed since February 13, 2020, when the dataset was collected, and it covers the period from February 13, 2020, to June 30, 2021. There was a 90 percent training portion of the data, and a ten percent testing portion (Sanchez-Luna et al., 2021). An analysis of time-series regression was conducted in this study. As part of our model building process, we used Multi Level Perceptrons, Convolutional Neural Networks, and Long Short Term Memory

(LSTM). The past values of confirmed, recovered, and death counts were used to predict future counts. Performance metrics have been RMSE and R2. Based on their ability to capture nonlinear patterns in input data over time, LSTM models outperformed CNN and MLP models in predicting a week and a month ahead.

In a study published by Anki et. al. (2021), Ethiopian cases were used to predict COVID-19 spread. A dataset for this analysis can be found in John Hopkins University's official GitHub repository. This dataset only contains data about Ethiopia. Based on data collected on 25.01.2020, the dataset contains the following information. A training and testing set was created based on the dataset. Training data made up about two-fourths of the dataset, while testing data made up the rest. Time series regression models were developed using SVM and PR. A confirmed case, a recovered case, and a death case are the predictors and output variables. Performance evaluation was based on MAE and MSE metrics. In order to make predictions for COVID-19, a deep learning model using LSTMs, GRUs, and Bi-LSTMs is used. *Journal of Chaos, Solitons, and Fractals*, 141, 120232. Therefore, both confirmations, recovered cases, and death cases perform better with SVM than PR.

In Algeria, new COVID-19 cases were predicted by Balli, S. (2021). Using the Algeria health ministry's public health database, we obtained the dataset used in this study. An Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) method is used to build a time series regression model. New cases for COVID-19 were calculated using the cumulative number of confirmed cases, as well as the index day. A new COVID-19 case is generated by the model. A number of performance metrics were preferred, including MSE, RMSE, MAE, NSE, OI, and R2, as well as Nash-Sutcliffe coefficients of efficiency (NSE). It has been concluded that new COVID-19 cases can be predicted using the ELM architecture.

Authorities can make better decisions with the help of the short-term prediction model developed by Saba et al. (2021). Based on data collected between March 10, 2020, and May 15, 2020, a dataset was obtained from the Egyptian Ministry of Health and Population. In order to develop time series regression models, NARANN and ARIMA algorithms were employed. A predictor variable was based on reported cases, and a target variable was based on new cases (Santra, A., & Dutta, A. (2022)). Model performance was evaluated using MAE, RMSE, R2, and coefficient of residual mass (CRM) analyses. Using NARANN as a predictor of COVID-19 case numbers outperforms ARIMA.

Table 3

| Author's | Publishing Year | Model | Technique of the study | Predictor variables of the study | Target variables of the study |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Gebretensae et al. | 2021 | Time Series Regression | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average | Recovered, confirmed, | All confirmed, recovered |
| Marzouk et al. | 2021 | Time Series Regression | Long Short-Term Memory | confirmed, recovered, death | confirmed, recovered, death |
| Ahmed | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Support Vector Machines | Death confirmed, recovered | All confirmed, recovered, and dead |
| Djeddou et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | ELM | new COVID-19 cases | Total cases |
| Saba et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | NARANN | Cases reported | new cases |
| Takele | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average | confirmed cases | total confirmed cases |

Analysis of American cases

According to Luo et al. (2021), COVID-19 is predicted to be on the rise in the US in the near future. We obtained this time-series dataset from the WHO website. As no isolation or treatment measures were initiated by the US between January and March, new confirmed cases were considered 19 or not between April 2, 2020, and September 29, 2020. The performance metrics used were precision, accuracy, recall, and AUC. Based on the results, MLP performed better than other methods.

COVID-19 had been predicted to spread across countries in the Americas by Jojoa et al. (1921). An open data repository of the European Union was used to download the dataset. In order to build the models, we used regression-based prediction models based on MLPs and SVMs. As predictors and output variables, COVID-19 confirmed cases were used. In this study, Pearson's correlation coefficients, mean percent errors, and mean percent errors (MPEs) were used (Jojoa et al., 2020). Using an optimization algorithm to determine the hyperparameters improves MLP performance; however, if not, SVM must be used. In Chile, Mexico, and the United States, MLP performed better than SVM. When the same performance metrics are used for Brazil, Colombia, and Peru, SVM performs better than MLP.

The COVID-19 pandemic was predicted by Moreau (2020) in a study he conducted. Our World in Data Project provided the dataset used in this study. Daily modeling objects are included in the dataset. Ninety percent of the data were training data, while

ten percent were testing data. With time-series regression, LSTM and XGBoost models were built. Based on past data, we forecast the number of confirmed cases over the next 25 days. A number of performance metrics have been used, including MAE, MSE, RMSE, and MAPE. When the MAPE value is lower, LSTM performs better than XGBoost, which means LSTM outperformed XGBoost.

Using machine learning, Gomes et al. (2023) attempted to detect COVID-19 early using early symptoms. A total of 55,676 patients are included in the dataset, which was created in Brazilian. Regular classification techniques were used along with RF, SVM, MLP, KNN, DT, Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM), and XGBoost. According to Mohammedqasim, H., & Ata, O. (2022), sore throats, dyspneic, fevers, coughs, headaches, taste disorders, olfactory disorders, and the presence of sore throats were all used as predictor variables. COVID-19 infection can be predicted through the use of this study. In addition to precision, accuracy, recall, and AUC, performance metrics were used. A comparison of MLP with other methods revealed that it performed the best.

Various countries in America are affected by COVID-19, according to Jojoa et al. (2021). A dataset from the European Union's open data repository was used for the analysis. Models based on time series regression and SVM were constructed for the prediction process. A COVID-19 case study serves as a predictor and output variable in this study. The performance metrics used in this study were Pearson's Correlation Coefficients, Mean Percentage Errors (MPE), and Mean Absolute Errors (MAE). A

hyperparameter optimization algorithm improves SVM performance, whereas it deteriorates MLP performance (Yang, L., & Shami, A. (2020)). MLP performed better than SVM in Chile, Mexico, and the United States. A SVM performance metric outperforms a MLP performance metric when applied to Brazil, Colombia, and Peru.

The COVID-19 pandemic was predicted by Moreau's (2020) study. The dataset for this study was provided by 'Our World in Data Project'. The dataset includes all confirmed cases and deaths each day (Brat et al. (2020)). Beginning with February 26, 2020, the first confirmed case in Brazil, this dataset includes data going back in time. Weibull Distribution models are derived using time series regression. We used the number of confirmed cases and deaths as an output, with no new cases or deaths being recorded on a daily basis as a predictor. The diagnostic-death lag correlates with mortality rate, so we use this parameter. You can calculate this parameter by measuring the distance between new cases and deaths on a given day. A total of four scenarios were tested in this study. Using the daily death rate as a basis, these scenarios were developed.

At the maximum point of the curve, the first, second, third, and fourth scenarios would have daily death rates of 1250, 1550, 1760, and 250, respectively. Performance was evaluated using R2 (Kafieh et al., 2021). According to this author's study, the first scenario produced the most optimistic results, while the fourth scenario produced the most pessimistic outcomes. A similar pattern of results was found in the second and third

scenarios, which were based on actual daily data. The similar precision of these scenarios makes it impossible to select one over the other as the most likely. According to the diagnostic-death lag value, there are between 1750 and 2000 deaths per day in a prospective scenario.

Forecasting models were updated to include exogenous climatic variables by Silva et al. (2020). Datasets for confirmed cases include cumulative cases for five states in the USA and Brazil. There is data available in the datasets until 29.05.2020. An API was used to collect COVID-19 information about all Brazilian states.

The National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Johns Hopkins University's climate data set were used to analyze the climate in the United States. The Brazilian National Institute of Meteorology provided meteorological data for this study. Precipitation and temperature minimums and maximums were exogenously input into each model. In this study, a variety of time series regression models have been developed, such as Bayesian regression neural networks (BRNNs), Cubist regression neural networks (CUBISTs), Kakura neural networks (KNNs), Quantile Random Forests (QRFs), SVRs, and variational mode decompositions (VMDs). Climate variables such as rainfall, maximum temperature, and minimum temperature were predictive of COVID-19 cases. The output variable is the cumulative confirmations.

Table 4

| Author's | Publishing Year | Model | Technique of the study | Predictor variables of the study | Target variables of the study |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Luo et al. | 2021 | Time Series Regression | Long Short-Term Memory | confirmed cases | Cases confirmed |
| Santana et al. | 2021 | Regular Classification | MultiLayer Perceptron | Health professional, gender, sore throat, dyspnea, fever, cough, headache, taste disorder, olfactory disorder | positive or negative COVID-19 |
| Jojoa et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | MultiLayer Perceptron, Support Vector Machines | Confirmed cases | confirmed cases |
| Moreau | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Weibull distribution | confirmed new cases, confirmed new deaths | daily new cases, daily new deaths |
| Silva et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | BRNN, CUBIST, KNN, QRF, SVR, VMDbased models | COVID-19 cases, precipitation, maximum temperature, minimum temperature | cumulative confirmed cases |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------|------------------------|---|--|---|
| Souza et al. | 2020 | Regular classification | LogReg, LDA, XGBoost, Support Vector Machines | Symptoms include fever, respiratory distress, coughing, runny nose, sore throat, diarrhea, headache, pulmonary disease, cardiovascular disease, kidney disease, diabetes, smoking, . | is patient recovered or dead |
| WollensteinB etech et al. | 2020 | Regular Classification | Support Vector Machines, LogReg, RF, XGBoost | Age, pregnancy, chronic renal failure, diabetes, immunosuppression, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, obesity, other, hypertension, tobacco use, etc. | hospitalization, mortality, ICU need, ventilator need |

Analysis of intercontinental cases

In their work, Ayyubi et al. (2021) investigate gated recurrent units and long short-term memories (LSTMs). COVID-19 outbreak forecast models proposed. From the WHO website, several columns were included in the dataset, including dates reported, country codes, countries, regions, cumulative cases, and cumulative deaths. For the development of the models, Australian and Iranian data were combined. A period of data for the Australians covers January 25, 2020, to August 18, 2020, and a period of data for the Iranians covers January 2, 2020, to October 5, 2020 (Cui et al., 2020). About 71% of the data came from training, while 29% came from testing. Our models were built by combining bidirectional extensions and time-series regression with GRU, LSTM, and ConvLSTM. On the basis of new cases, cumulative cases, new deaths, and cumulative deaths, we predict new cases, cumulative cases, new deaths, and cumulative deaths in advance. The performance metrics used were MSLE, MAPE, RMSLE, and Explained Variance (EV). The performance of bidirectional models is usually better than that of non-bidirectional models most of the time. Moreover, the best-performed prediction method depends on the scenario one, three, and seven days ahead, so no technique may always produce the best predictions.

An outbreak of COVID-19 is predicted in any country using a model developed by Bala (2021). Data on COVID-19 deaths and confirmed cases are available from All our World in Data (OWID). Published by Oxford University under the supervision of the European CDC, this dataset is updated daily. We developed time series regression models based on the date of each case and the number of cases. There was approximately 69:31 training time and 31 percent testing time for this database. XGBoost Regression was built using LinReg, SVM, RF, and RF. A performance evaluation metric was based on MAE and RMSE. According to

the results of the tests, XGBoost performed best when compared to RF, SVM, and LinReg.

To help humanity survive the COVID-19 pandemic, Hassan et al. (2021) proposed a prediction model for outbreaks of COVID-19. John Hopkins University provided the dataset on its GitHub repository. Between the beginning of the pandemic and October 15, 2020, daily data was collected on confirmed, recovered, and euthanasia cases worldwide. An initial training set of 225 days and an additional testing set of 39 days comprised our training dataset. In addition to MLP and SVM, Bayesian Networks (BN), Principal Regression (PR), and Linear Regression (LinReg) were used to build time-series regression models (Cruz et al., 2021). In order to predict future confirmation, recovery, and death counts, past values were used as inputs. R2 value, EV, RMSE, MAE, and MSE were used as performance metrics. MLP generally predicted confirmed cases more accurately than recovered cases and death cases, according to the results.

An online system for analyzing COVID-19's dynamic trend is called COVID-19 Pandemic AI System (CPAIS) (Guo et al., 2022). Two datasets were used in this analysis: Oxford CAVID-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT) and John Hopkins University's Center for System Science and Engineering. Since January 5, 2020, COVID-19 data set contains 21 types of responses. Policies related to containment and closure, economics, health systems, and miscellaneous can be categorized as these. John Hopkins University has compiled a dataset of confirmed, recovered, and death cases from 190 countries (Finn et al. (2020)). In order to build models using time-series regression, ARIMA, Feedforward Neural Networks (FNN), MLPs, and LSTMs were used. A set of confirmed, recovered, and death cases were examined in order to extract predictive variables for the OxCGRT dataset at John Hopkins, as well as the last 10 days of validation data. In addition to ME (Mean Error), RMSE, MAE,

MPE, and MAPE, several performance metrics were evaluated. According to most countries, LSTM produces better results and is more accurate than other methods. It was found that ARIMA, FNN, and MLP were uncompetitive in some countries due to their instability. According to Ardabili et al., machine learning can be used to predict outbreaks of COVID-19. To predict COVID-19 outbreaks in 2020, they compared soft computing and machine learning forecasting models. Italian, Chinese, Iranian, German, and American data were collected over 29 days. Time series data were used to develop regression models.

Due to its low RMSE and high correlation coefficient, GWO (Gray Wolf Optimizer) performed better than other ML optimizers. Among the models tested, the Logistic model demonstrated the highest accuracy, the lowest RMSE, and the highest r-square (Balogun et al. (2021)). Their prediction is how many cases will be filed in total. MF (Membership Functions) and MLP (Multiple Link Processing) were used for MLP and ANFIS training. MLP was found to be the most accurate after comparison.

The COVID-19 impact on different age groups was determined by Prakash et al. (2020) based on machine learning algorithms. As a result of Kaggle's generosity, They have been given access to two datasets. There were two datasets, the first containing data from India, and the second containing data from COVID-19 worldwide. Among the datasets are cured, dead, and confirmed Indians, foreigners, their dates, and their months. As classification approaches, we also include DT, MLR (Multi-LinReg), SVM, XGBoost Classifier, and RF Classifier. LogReg, KNN+NCA, Gaussian Nash Bayes (GNB), and KNN+NCA are also incorporated. Petersen, M. R., & Agrawal, A. (2021) found a 7:3 ratio between the test datasets. R2 and accuracy are the metrics used to evaluate performance. For detecting COVID-19 in the 20-30, 30-40, and 40-50 age groups, the RF Regressor and RF Classifier have been found to be the most successful machine learning methods.

The behavior of COVID-19 and its reachability were predicted by different machine learning models, according to Punn et al. (2020). Johns Hopkins University's official repository was used to collect data between January 25, 2020, and April 5, 2020. The researchers used confirm, death, and recovered cases as predictor variables, in addition to provincial/state, country, and region variables. On the basis of real-time data, the training and testing process labels cases as confirmed, recovered, or death. Additionally, they can predict deaths in addition to confirmed cases and recovered cases. To predict COVID-19 trends, SVR, PR, DNN (Deep Neural Network), and LTSM are combined. Model performance was evaluated using the RMSE metric.

Therefore, PR has been recommended as the most effective method for tracking trends. By predicting the number of new cases, deaths, and recoveries, Rustom et al. (2020) can forecast COVID-19 infection rates. This dataset can be found in a GitHub repository at John Hopkins University's Center for Systems Science and Engineering.

As part of the dataset, we include the number of confirmed cases, deaths, and survivors of the pandemic (Dey et al., 2020). The initial data preprocessing step was followed by the division of the training set (55 days) from the testing set (15 days). The time series regression models we implemented in our study included LinReg, Last Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Exponential Smoothing (ES). A variety of performance measures were used to evaluate the models, including MAE, MSE, RMSE, and R2 Adjusted. New cases, deaths, and recoveries have been predicted more accurately by ES than any other model.

However, other methods showed significant improvements when larger datasets were used. However, ES still performed better when larger datasets were used. Sahin (2020) suggests that COVID-19 cases could be forecasted daily based on mobility data in order to develop a forecasting model. Using Apple Mobility Trends Reports, we compiled a dataset of mobility data. During the period of 13.01.2020 - 10.05.2020, data was collected to train the model. Data was collected for testing models between 13.05.2020 and 20.05.2020. The United Kingdom, the United States, Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and the United Kingdom were among the countries analyzed. Data from a population database and a mobility index were used as inputs. A time series regression model as well as a Gaussian Process Regression model, SVM, and decision tree models were also developed. MAPE was used to assess the model's performance (Chen et al. (2018)). The mobility index cannot predict daily cases across all countries using machine learning methods.

According to Tuli et al., a cloud-based prediction model offers more realistic predictions in real time. A daily WHO situation report is used to generate the dataset derived from Hannah Ritchie's "Our World in Data." This study was run on an Azure B1 single-core virtual machine with 1GB RAM and SSD storage, along with Windows Server 2016 64-bit. Using the FogBus framework, the HealthFog framework performed multiple analysis tasks to predict various metrics. In order to develop time series regression models, Robust Weibull Fit and Gaussian Fit were applied. New cases, new cases per year, and mortality rates are all determined by input and output variables. Metrics such as MSE, R2, and MAPE are used to evaluate performance. Weibull

Robust models are more effective as performance evaluation metrics than Gaussian Fit models. A

Robust Weibull model is found to work better than a Gaussian Fit model.

Table 5

| Author's | Publishing Year | Model | Technique of the study | Predictor variables of the study | Target variables of the study |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Bala | 2021 | Time Series Regression | XGBoost | Covid-19 cases | total cases |
| Hassan et al. | 2021 | Time Series Regression | MultiLayer Perceptron | Death confirmed, recovered | All cases |
| Yu et al. | 2021 | Time Series Regression | Long Short-Term Memory | Death confirmed, recovered | Total number of cases |
| Ardabili et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | MultiLayer Perceptron | number of cases | All cases |
| Prakash et al. | 2020 | Regular Classification | RFC, RFR | Age, cured, date, month, confirmed foreign national, confirmed Indian national, deaths, state | most affected age group |
| Punn et al. | 2020 | Time Series Regression | Polynomial Regression | Deaths, confirmed cases, and recovered cases | confirmed, death, and recovered cases |

Conclusion and Future scope

This study summarizes recent studies on COVID-19 outbreak prediction using machine learning and statistical methods. Numerous studies have been conducted recently in an effort to predict whether patients will become infected with COVID-19, which outbreak trend is most likely to occur, and which age groups are most at risk. A regression model based on time series data, a linear regression model, a semilinear regression model, and a classification model based on time series data are the four main types of regression models. COVID-19 outbreaks can be predicted by analyzing variables such as confirmed cases, recovered cases, and deaths, in addition to pre-existing conditions such as asthma, obesity, hypertension, tobacco smoking, chronic renal insufficiency, diabetes, pregnancy, and demographics, gender, nationality, and location. Additionally, it is important to take into account an individual's current health conditions, such as fever, breathing difficulties, diarrhea, vomiting, loss of smell, nasal congestion, dry cough, headache, and sore throat, in order to predict COVID-19 infection. There are many performance metrics that can be applied to a model, but the most commonly used performance metrics are regression, MAE, MSE, MAPE, and RMSE, while the most commonly applied performance metrics in classification are accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 scores. The MLP method has pretty good results in most models, but cannot be ranked between these methods. A case count, a

recovered case, and a death are the most common variables.

A COVID-19 mutations may affect outbreak severity in the future, which is a potential research area. Additionally, the survey results indicate that most forecasting models are developed within a very short timeframe. In order to build more accurate models, longer timeframes like six or nine months can be taken into account in new studies. In addition, future studies can consider new vaccines. Last but not least, it would be beneficial to conduct more studies based on feature selection to identify COVID-19's relevant indicators.

References

1. Singh, R. K., Rani, M., Bhagavathula, A. S., Sah, R., Rodriguez-Morales, A. J., Kalita, H., ... & Kumar, P. (2020). Prediction of the COVID-19 pandemic for the top 15 affected countries: Advanced autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model. *JMIR public health and surveillance*, 6(2), e19115.
2. Caccavo, D. (2020). Chinese and Italian COVID-19 outbreaks can be correctly described by a modified SIRD model. *MedRxiv*, 2020-03.
3. Kumari, R., Kumar, S., Poonia, R. C., Singh, V., Raja, L., Bhatnagar, V., & Agarwal, P. (2021). Analysis and predictions of spread, recovery, and death caused by COVID-19 in India. *Big Data Mining and Analytics*, 4(2), 65-75.
4. Ardabili, S. F., Mosavi, A., Ghamisi, P., Ferdinand,

- F., Varkonyi-Koczy, A. R., Reuter, U., ... & Atkinson, P. M. (2020). Covid-19 outbreak prediction with machine learning. *Algorithms*, 13(10), 249.
5. Kumar, A., Rani, P., Kumar, R., Sharma, V., & Purohit, S. R. (2020). Data-driven modelling and prediction of COVID-19 infection in India and correlation analysis of the virus transmission with socio-economic factors. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*, 14(5), 1231-1240.
6. Singh, S., Raj, P., Kumar, R., & Chaujar, R. (2020, July). Prediction and forecast for COVID-19 Outbreak in India based on Enhanced Epidemiological Models. In *2020 Second international conference on inventive research in computing applications (ICIRCA)* (pp. 93-97). IEEE.
7. Yadav, M., Perumal, M., & Srinivas, M. (2020). Analysis on novel coronavirus (COVID-19) using machine learning methods. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 139, 110050.
8. Temsah, M. H., Al-Sohime, F., Alamro, N., Al-Eyadhy, A., Al-Hasan, K., Jamal, A., ... & Somily, A. M. (2020). The psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on health care workers in a MERS-CoV endemic country. *Journal of infection and public health*, 13(6), 877-882.
9. Senapati, A., Nag, A., Mondal, A., & Maji, S. (2021). A novel framework for COVID-19 case prediction through piecewise regression in India. *International Journal of Information Technology*, 13(1), 41-48.
10. Hussain, E., Hasan, M., Rahman, M. A., Lee, I., Tamanna, T., & Parvez, M. Z. (2021). CoroDet: A deep learning based classification for COVID-19 detection using chest X-ray images. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 142, 110495.
11. Priyadarshini, I., Mohanty, P., Kumar, R., Son, L. H., Chau, H. T. M., Nhu, V. H., ... & Tien Bui, D. (2020, May). Analysis of outbreak and global impacts of the COVID-19. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 8, No. 2, p. 148). MDPI.
12. Gupta, R., Pandey, G., Chaudhary, P., & Pal, S. K. (2020). Machine learning models for government to predict COVID-19 outbreak. *Digital Government: Research and Practice*, 1(4), 1-6.
13. Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., ... & Tan, W. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *New England journal of medicine*.
14. Chan, A. K. Y., Tamrakar, M., Jiang, C. M., Lo, E. C. M., Leung, K. C. M., & Chu, C. H. (2021). Common medical and dental problems of older adults: a narrative review. *Geriatrics*, 6(3), 76.
15. Anderson, R. M., Heesterbeek, H., Klinkenberg, D., & Hollingsworth, T. D. (2020). How will country-based mitigation measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic?. *The lancet*, 395(10228), 931-934.
16. Guhathakurata, S., Saha, S., Kundu, S., Chakraborty, A., & Banerjee, J. S. (2021). South Asian Countries are less fatal concerning COVID-19: a fact-finding procedure integrating machine learning & multiple criteria decision-making (MCDM) technique. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series B*, 1-15.
17. Tamhane, R., & Mulge, S. (2020). Prediction of COVID-19 outbreak using machine learning. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, 7(5).
18. Khemasuwan, D., Sorensen, J. S., & Colt, H. G. (2020). Artificial intelligence in pulmonary medicine: computer vision, predictive model and COVID-19. *European respiratory review*, 29(157).
19. Satrio, C. B. A., Darmawan, W., Nadia, B. U., & Hanafiah, N. (2021). Time series analysis and forecasting of coronavirus disease in Indonesia using ARIMA model and PROPHET. *Procedia Computer Science*, 179, 524-532.
20. van Hell, N. (2021). *Text Data Measured with Error: Empirical Strategies with an Application in S&P 500 Implied Volatility Forecasting* (Doctoral dissertation, Queen's University).
21. Çetin, U. A., & Fatih, A. B. U. T. (2022). A survey of recent studies on COVID-19 outbreak prediction using statistical and machine learning methods. *Niğde Ömer Halisdemir Üniversitesi Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1-1.
22. Althnian, A., Abou Elwafa, A., Aloboud, N., Alrasheed, H., & Kurdi, H. (2020). Prediction of COVID-19 individual susceptibility using demographic data: A case study on Saudi Arabia. *Procedia Computer Science*, 177, 379-386.
23. Iwendi, C., Huescas, C. G. Y., Chakraborty, C., & Mohan, S. (2022). COVID-19 health analysis and prediction using machine learning algorithms for Mexico and Brazil patients. *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, 1-21.
24. Song, J., Gao, Y., Yin, P., Li, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, J., ... & Pi, H. (2021). The random forest model has the best accuracy among the four pressure ulcer prediction models using machine learning algorithms. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 1175-1187.
25. Ceylan, Z. (2020). Estimation of COVID-19 prevalence in Italy, Spain, and France. *Science of The Total Environment*, 729, 138817.
26. Papastefanopoulos, V., Linardatos, P., & Kotsiantis, S. (2020). COVID-19: a comparison of time series methods to forecast percentage of active cases per population. *Applied sciences*, 10(11), 3880.
27. Mohamadou, Y., Halidou, A., & Kapen, P. T. (2020). A review of mathematical modeling, artificial intelligence and datasets used in the study, prediction and management of COVID-19. *Applied Intelligence*, 50(11), 3913-3925.
28. ArunKumar, K. E., Kalaga, D. V., Kumar, C. M. S., Chilkoor, G., Kawaji, M., & Brenza, T. M. (2021).

- Forecasting the dynamics of cumulative COVID-19 cases (confirmed, recovered and deaths) for top-16 countries using statistical machine learning models: Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) and Seasonal Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA). *Applied soft computing*, 103, 107161.
29. Fang, L., Wang, D., & Pan, G. (2020). Analysis and estimation of COVID-19 spreading in Russia based on ARIMA model. *SN Comprehensive Clinical Medicine*, 2, 2521-2527.
30. Fayyumi, E., Idwan, S., & AboShindi, H. (2020). Machine learning and statistical modelling for prediction of novel COVID-19 patients case study: Jordan. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 11(5).
31. Erdem, E., & Bozkurt, F. (2021). A comparison of various supervised machine learning techniques for prostate cancer prediction. *Avrupa Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi*, (21), 610-620.
32. Kumar, A., Gupta, P. K., & Srivastava, A. (2020). A review of modern technologies for tackling COVID-19 pandemic. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*, 14(4), 569-573.
33. Pourghasemi, H. R., Pouyan, S., Farajzadeh, Z., Sadhasivam, N., Heidari, B., Babaei, S., & Tiefenbacher, J. P. (2020). Assessment of the outbreak risk, mapping and infection behavior of COVID-19: Application of the autoregressive integrated-moving average (ARIMA) and polynomial models. *Plos one*, 15(7), e0236238.
34. Chimmula, V. R. (2021). *A Data Driven Based Comparison Study of Statistical and Deep Learning Based Time Series Forecasting Methods for Infectious Disease Modeling and Financial Data*. The University of Regina (Canada).
35. Yeung, A. Y., Roewer-Despres, F., Rosella, L., & Rudzicz, F. (2021). Machine learning-based prediction of growth in confirmed COVID-19 infection cases in 114 countries using metrics of nonpharmaceutical interventions and cultural dimensions: model development and validation. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(4), e26628.
36. Hassan, A. H. M., Qasem, A. A. M., Abdalla, W. F. M., & Elhassan, O. H. (2021). Visualization & prediction of COVID-19 future outbreak by using machine learning. *Int. J. Inf. Technol. Comput. Sci*, 13(3), 16-32.
37. Gebretensae, Y. A., & Asmelash, D. (2021). Trend analysis and forecasting the spread of COVID-19 pandemic in Ethiopia using Box-Jenkins modeling procedure. *International journal of general medicine*, 1485-1498.
38. Al-Turaiki, I., Almutlaq, F., Alrasheed, H., & Alballa, N. (2021). Empirical evaluation of alternative time-series models for covid-19 forecasting in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(16), 8660.
39. Marzouk, M., Elshaboury, N., Abdel-Latif, A., & Azab, S. (2021). Deep learning model for forecasting COVID-19 outbreak in Egypt. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 153, 363-375.
40. Sánchez-Luna, M., Fernández Colomer, B., de Alba Romero, C., Alarcón Allen, A., Baña Souto, A., Camba Longueira, F., ... & SENE COVID-19 Registry Study Group. (2021). Neonates born to mothers with COVID-19: data from the Spanish Society of Neonatology Registry. *Pediatrics*, 147(2).
41. Anki, P., Bustamam, A., & Buyung, R. A. (2021). Looking for the link between the causes of the COVID-19 disease using the multi-model application. *Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci.*, 2021, Article-ID.
42. Balli, S. (2021). Data analysis of Covid-19 pandemic and short-term cumulative case forecasting using machine learning time series methods. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 142, 110512.
43. Elsheikh, A. H., Saba, A. I., Abd Elaziz, M., Lu, S., Shanmugan, S., Muthuramalingam, T., ... & Shehabeldeen, T. A. (2021). Deep learning-based forecasting model for COVID-19 outbreak in Saudi Arabia. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 149, 223-233.
44. Santra, A., & Dutta, A. (2022). A Comprehensive Review of Machine Learning Techniques for Predicting the Outbreak of Covid-19 Cases. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems & Applications*, 14(3).
45. Luo, J., Zhang, Z., Fu, Y., & Rao, F. (2021). Time series prediction of COVID-19 transmission in America using LSTM and XGBoost algorithms. *Results in Physics*, 27, 104462.
46. Jojoa, M., Lazaro, E., Garcia-Zapirain, B., Gonzalez, M. J., & Urizar, E. (2021). The impact of COVID 19 on university staff and students from Iberoamerica: Online learning and teaching experience. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(11), 5820.
47. Jojoa, M., & Garcia-Zapirain, B. (2020). Forecasting covid 19 confirmed cases using machine learning: the case of america.
48. Moreau, V. H. (2020). Forecast predictions for the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil by statistical modeling using the Weibull distribution for daily new cases and deaths. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 51(3), 1109-1115.
49. Gomes, J. C., de Santana, M. A., Masood, A. I., de Lima, C. L., & Dos Santos, W. P. (2023). COVID-19's influence on cardiac function: a machine learning perspective on ECG analysis. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*, 1-25.
50. Mohammedqasim, H., & Ata, O. (2022). Real-time data of COVID-19 detection with IoT sensor tracking using artificial neural network. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 100, 107971.

51. Jojoa, M., Lazaro, E., Garcia-Zapirain, B., Gonzalez, M. J., & Urizar, E. (2021). The impact of COVID 19 on university staff and students from Iberoamerica: Online learning and teaching experience. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(11), 5820.
52. Yang, L., & Shami, A. (2020). On hyperparameter optimization of machine learning algorithms: Theory and practice. *Neurocomputing*, 415, 295-316.
53. Brat, G. A., Weber, G. M., Gehlenborg, N., Avillach, P., Palmer, N. P., Chiovato, L., ... & Kohane, I. S. (2020). International electronic health record-derived COVID-19 clinical course profiles: the 4CE consortium. *NPJ digital medicine*, 3(1), 109.
54. Moreau, V. H. (2020). Forecast predictions for the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil by statistical modeling using the Weibull distribution for daily new cases and deaths. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 51(3), 1109-1115.
55. Kafieh, R., Arian, R., Saeedizadeh, N., Amini, Z., Serej, N. D., Minaee, S., ... & Haghjooy Javanmard, S. (2021). COVID-19 in Iran: forecasting pandemic using deep learning. *Computational and mathematical methods in medicine*, 2021.
56. Da Silva, R. G., Ribeiro, M. H. D. M., Mariani, V. C., & dos Santos Coelho, L. (2020). Forecasting Brazilian and American COVID-19 cases based on artificial intelligence coupled with climatic exogenous variables. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 139, 110027.
57. Gomez-Cravioto, D. A., Diaz-Ramos, R. E., Cantu-Ortiz, F. J., & Ceballos, H. G. (2021). Data analysis and forecasting of the COVID-19 spread: A comparison of recurrent neural networks and time series models. *Cognitive Computation*, 1-12.
58. Ayoobi, N., Sharifrazi, D., Alizadehsani, R., Shoeibi, A., Gorriz, J. M., Moosaei, H., ... & Mosavi, A. (2021). Time series forecasting of new cases and new deaths rate for COVID-19 using deep learning methods. *Results in physics*, 27, 104495.
59. Cui, F., Salih, S. Q., Choubin, B., Bhagat, S. K., Samui, P., & Yaseen, Z. M. (2020). Newly explored machine learning model for river flow time series forecasting at Mary River, Australia. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 192, 1-15.
60. Bala, S. (2021). COVID-19 Outbreak Prediction Analysis using Machine Learning. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 9(1).
61. Hassan, A. H. M., Qasem, A. A. M., Abdalla, W. F. M., & Elhassan, O. H. (2021). Visualization & prediction of COVID-19 future outbreak by using machine learning. *Int. J. Inf. Technol. Comput. Sci*, 13(3), 16-32.
62. Cruz, R. C., Reis Costa, P., Vinga, S., Krippahl, L., & Lopes, M. B. (2021). A Review of recent machine learning advances for forecasting harmful algal blooms and shellfish contamination. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 9(3), 283.
63. Guo, X., Tu, X., Huang, G., Fang, X., Kong, L., & Wu, J. (2022). Urban greenspace helps ameliorate people's negative sentiments during the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of Beijing. *Building and Environment*, 223, 109449.
64. Finn, P., Cinpoes, R., Ichijo, A., Ledger, R., Nancheva, N., Pettitt, R., & Ranta, R. (2020). Covid-19 and democracy, first cut policy analyses: country case studies.
65. Ardabili, S. F., Mosavi, A., Ghamisi, P., Ferdinand, F., Varkonyi-Koczy, A. R., Reuter, U., ... & Atkinson, P. M. (2020). Covid-19 outbreak prediction with machine learning. *Algorithms*, 13(10), 249.
66. Balogun, A. L., Rezaie, F., Pham, Q. B., Gigović, L., Drobnjak, S., Aina, Y. A., ... & Lee, S. (2021). Spatial prediction of landslide susceptibility in western Serbia using hybrid support vector regression (SVR) with GWO, BAT and COA algorithms. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 12(3), 101104.
67. Prakash, K. B., Imambi, S. S., Ismail, M., Kumar, T. P., & Pawan, Y. N. (2020). Analysis, prediction and evaluation of covid-19 datasets using machine learning algorithms. *International Journal*, 8(5), 2199-2204.
68. Petersen, M. R., & Agrawal, A. (2021). Detecting Arsenic Contamination Using Satellite Imagery and Machine Learning. *Toxics*, 9(LA-UR-21-31105).
69. Punn, N. S., Sonbhadra, S. K., & Agarwal, S. (2020). COVID-19 epidemic analysis using machine learning and deep learning algorithms. *MedRxiv*, 2020-04.
70. Rustam, F., Reshi, A. A., Mehmood, A., Ullah, S., On, B. W., Aslam, W., & Choi, G. S. (2020). COVID-19 future forecasting using supervised machine learning models. *IEEE access*, 8, 101489-101499.
71. Dey, S. K., Rahman, M. M., Siddiqi, U. R., & Howlader, A. (2020). Analyzing the epidemiological outbreak of COVID-19: A visual exploratory data analysis approach. *Journal of medical virology*, 92(6), 632-638.
72. Şahin, M. (2020). Forecasting COVID-19 cases based on mobility. *MANAS Journal of Engineering*, 8(2), 144-150.
73. Chen, S., Mihara, K., & Wen, J. (2018). Time series prediction of CO₂, TVOC and HCHO based on machine learning at different sampling points. *Building and Environment*, 146, 238-246.